UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/528,479	10/04/2005	Pieter De Haan	285953US40 PCT	8459	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER		
			SASAN, ARADHANA		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1615		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/20/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

ARADHANA SASAN ARADHANA SASAN ARADHANA SASAN ARADHANA SASAN (1) ARADHANA SASAN. (3)	Interview Summary	10/528,479 DE HAAN ET AL.		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) ARADHANA SASAN. (3) (2) VINCENT SHIER. (4) Date of Interview: 13 January 2009. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference	interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
(1) <u>ARADHANA SASAN</u> . (3) (2) <u>VINCENT SHIER</u> . (4) Date of Interview: <u>13 January 2009</u> . Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference		ARADHANA SASAN	1615	
(2) <u>VINCENT SHIER</u> . (4) Date of Interview: <u>13 January 2009</u> . Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference	All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
Date of Interview: <u>13 January 2009</u> . Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference	1) <u>ARADHANA SASAN</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference	2) <u>VINCENT SHIER</u> .	(4)		
	Date of Interview: <u>13 January 2009</u> .			
		2) <mark> applicant's representativ</mark> e	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:		e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed:	Claim(s) discussed:			
Identification of prior art discussed:	Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.	Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	g)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Mr. Shier confirmed that a response to the office action issued on 05/14/08 was not filed</u> .	reached, or any other comments: Mr. Shier confirmed that			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no c	copy of the amendments that v		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	NTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER NTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTELLE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE	e last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	v been filed, APP Y DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
/Aradhana Sasan/				

Application No.

Applicant(s)